

2696

RECEIVED

2m9 0CT 16 AM 8:53

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

October 16, 2009

Anne E. Stephens, Ph.D.
Superintendent
e-mail: anne\_stephens@fcasd.edu

David P. McCommons, Ed.D.
Assistant Superintendent
e-mail: david\_mccommons@casd.edu

Steven E. Mols

Administrative Assistant for Bustness Affairs
e-mail: steven\_mols@fcasd.edu

611 Fleid Club Road Pinsburgb, PA 15238 (412) 963-9600 Fax: (412) 967-0697 Web: www.fcasd.edu

Independent Regulatory Review Commission: IRRC #2696

## Dear Members of the Commission:

I understand that the Keystone Exam issue will be addressed by you next Thursday. I have written previously concerning the strong opposition my Board of School Directors and the parents of the Fox Chapel Area School District have to creating this additional layer of testing for our students. We all believe in accountability and we believe that students must have specific skills to be successful beyond high school. We do not believe that ten additional tests will improve instruction or accountability measures in Fox Chapel.

Please consider the following points as you continue to discuss the Keystone Exams:

- Districts have worked very hard and have spent a significant amount of money to align their curriculum to the Standards.
- The PSSA and regulations surrounding No Child Left Behind (NCLB)
  have been challenging initiatives, but Pennsylvania schools have met the
  challenges and continue to make gains each year.
- The most important skills we can stress for student success are math and
  reading skills. The PSSA has been adopted as a test to measure success in
  these important areas. The development of the PSSA has been expensive.
  The work by school districts and the cost to make certain that all students
  have mastered the skills tested by the PSSA have been extensive.
- The move to the Keystone Exams will be disruptive as districts once again regroup to make the many changes in structure that will be necessary to prepare specifically for these exams.
- Many school districts throughout Pennsylvania already have a strong curriculum in place to address all subject areas. In addition, districts are networking with business and industry as they prepare together to meet the demands of the 21<sup>st</sup> century workforce. Local control of this process is important.
- Research indicates that tests like the Keystone Exams in other states have lead to a much higher dropout rate for students.
- Research indicates that it is instruction in the classroom that leads to the success of students. Money spent on professional development is money

- well spent. We do not believe that money spent on creating an additional layer of testing is money well spent.
- Creating the Keystone Exams and all of the promised materials that will
  go with the exams will cost the state millions of dollars. Is this really the
  best way to spend taxpayer dollars? In addition, school districts will face
  many additional unfunded mandates as they work to implement the "plan"
  successfully.
- Pennsylvania has signed on as one of the states that will adopt a set of national standards in the near future. I assume that this will mean another change and another test. Do we know how this would affect the integrity of the Keystone Exams? Will those exams match the new national standards that haven't even been determined yet?
- Local school boards have reached out in opposition to the new tests.

  They have the pulse of their constituents and we ask that the desire of the taxpayers as expressed in the many resolutions that have been adopted and letters that have been written be honored.

School districts in Pennsylvania are focused on improving student achievement. We are one of the few states making significant progress. Please do not disrupt this progress by creating another layer that takes districts away from the objective of preparing all students for life and work in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

Sincerely,

Anne E. Stephens, Ph.D. Superintendent

Romelthelesse

TOTAL P.03



## 611 FIELD CLUB ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15238

## FAX COVER SHEET

PHONE: 412-967-9600 FAX: 412-967-0697

SEND TO:	THE INDEPENDENT REGULATORY COMMISSION		FROM:	Anne E. Stephens, Ph.D.	
PHONE NUMBER:			DATE:	10/16/20	09
FAX NUMBER:	717-783-2664		PHONE NUMBER:	412-967- FAX 412-	7-2414 2-967-0697
otherwise cont the intended re notified that ar	information contained fidential, and is intende acipient, or the employ by examination, use, or	PLEASE COMMENT in this facsimile message ed only for the individual or ee, or the agency respons r dissemination, distribution error, please immediately	and/or documen entity named ab ible to deliver it t n, or copying of t	t transmitted is love. If the rea to the intended his communica	der of this message is not recipient, you are hereby tion is strictly prohibited.
		Postal Service. Thank yo		mone (ooned)	and retain the original to
Сомме	NTS:		Ņ	o. of PA	AGES: 3
			(IN	CLUDING CO	OVER PAGE)

Re: IRRC #2696